	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowshilling	10/644,178	BARNES, CHRISTO	PHER A
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Grosz	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communical All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE Merewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF For the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37	MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication is second communication is second communication in the second communication is second communication in the second communication is second communication.	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due o subject to withdrawal from issu	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to APPUC	ATION FILEDON 8/4/0		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 4,47			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on $2/2/03$ are accepted by th	e Examiner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some* c) None of the priority document of the priority documen	ments have been received. ments have been received in Application priority documents have been received 2(a)). NG DATE" of this communication to file BANDONMENT of this application. BLE. st be submitted. Note the attached EXA) which gives reason(s) why the oath of the priority must be submitted. If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Date Examiner's Amendment / Comment or the east of the comment o	on No In this national stage applicate a reply complying with the recommendation and the recommendation is deficient. In the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the	quirements
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled at 2. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about attached Examiner's comment regarding REQU	t the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	ERIAL must be submitted. N	Note the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 o Paper No./Mail Date	PTO-948) 6. Interview Si Paper No./ r PTO/SB/08), 7. Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTC ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date <u>oፆ 01 </u> o	
of Biological Material	9. Other	-	
		Alexander Grosz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3673	

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Lingbeck on 8/6/04.

On page 7, between lines 18 and 19, insert:

—As discussed above, and clearly shown in the figures, the intermediate and upper sections, upon full inflation, are generally wedge shaped. —

On page 7, between lines 26, 27 insert:

-As discussed above, and clearly shown in the figures, upon the full inflation of the base section, the intermediate sections, and the upper sections, the head of a user is elevated approximately 30 degrees from the top wall of the base section, and the user's legs are supported on a curved leg supporting surface of the foot upper section. –

Cancel claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8.

In claim 4, line 1, change "3" to -9-.

In claim 7, line 9, change "6" to -9-.

Enter <u>new</u> claim 9.

9. An incline-adjustable air mattress, adapted to elevate a user's head and legs relative to a user's torso, comprising:

Art Unit: 3673

a base section having a top, a bottom, and a perimeter wall comprised of an endless strip being disposed along edges of said top and bottom walls and has a width which is generally uniform throughout, with a plurality of air valve members disposed in the perimeter wall, having an air chamber disposed between said top bottom, and perimeter walls;

two inflatable, generally wedge shaped intermediate sections being disposed upon and connected to said base section; two inflatable, generally wedged shaped upper sections being disposed upon and connected to said intermediate sections;

said intermediate sections comprising a head intermediate section being disposed upon a front portion of said base section, and also comprising a foot intermediate section being disposed upon a rear portion of the said base section and being spaced from said head intermediate section; the head intermediate section having a main wall, which upon full inflation of the head intermediate section is inclined approximately 15 degrees relative to the top wall of the base;

said upper sections comprising a head upper section being attached upon said head intermediate section, and also comprising foot upper section being attached upon said foot intermediate section and being spaced from said head upper section,

wherein upon the full inflation of the base section, the intermediate and upper sections, the head of a user is elevated approximately 30 degrees from the top wall of the base section, and the user's legs are supported on a curved leg supporting surface of the foot upper section.

Art Unit: 3673

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: On 8/4; 8/5 and 8/6/04, Mr. Lingbeck and I discussed the invention, the claims, and the very crowded prior art, noted on page 2 of the application, and the art cited on attached PTO 892. The closest prior art is U.S. application 10/350,587 to Kasatshko filed on 1/24/03, which application was brought into the public domain as a printed publication, by its incorporation by reference into U.S. Patent Application Publication "US2004/0143906 A1", published on 7/29/04.

Kasatshko, in its figures 9 and 11, teaches the use of adjustable air mattresses, but fails to teach or suggest the <u>very specific</u> structure of claim 9, which limits the mattress, among other features, to two head and foot supporting, wedge shaped sections, dimensioned such that upon full inflation, support the head portion of a user at approximately 30 degrees from the horizontal, and its base section is comprised of a top, bottom; and perimeter wall with a plurality of air valves, the perimeter wall comprised of an endless strip disposed along edges of the top and bottom wall.

Mr. Lingbeck persuasively argued that in the very crowded prior art, there is no teaching or suggestion to combine teachings of the prior art to obtain the very specific structure of claim 9.

Unlike the "complicated" device of Kasatshko, the claimed device provides an approximately 30 degree head inclination, upon full inflation.

See Texas Instruments Inc. v. International Trade Commission, 26 USPQ2d 1010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); Griffin v. Bertina, 62 USPQ2d 1431 (Fed. Cir. 2002); Amazon.com Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com Inc., 57 USPQ2d 1747 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Alex Grosz at telephone number 703-308-2498.

ALEXANDER GRO

Grosz/vs August 11, 2004